

MINUTES

OF THE MEETING OF

**PLANS AND WORKS COMMITTEE**

**HELD ON THE 11<sup>TH</sup> MARCH 2008**

**Present:** Councillor R Belcourt (In the Chair)  
Councillors A J Ewart-James, S W Hayward, M J Lyons, A Mayne and D Owen

**Apologies:** Councillors Mrs K T Belcourt and M J Dearden

**Also Present:** Mrs J Lawford – Plans & Works Clerk

**358/07 MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF 19<sup>TH</sup> FEBRUARY 2008**

It was

**PROPOSED BY:** Councillor A J Ewart-James  
**SECONDED BY:** Councillor M Lyons and

**RESOLVED** – That the Minutes of the Plans and Works Meeting held on the 19<sup>th</sup> February 2008, having been previously circulated, be signed as a correct record of the meeting.

**359/07 REGISTERING DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

Councillor D Owen declared a personal interest in Planning Application Y08/0208/SH – he knew the applicant.

**360/07 ADJOURNMENT FOR PUBLIC INCLUSION – STANDING ORDER**

**NO. 70**

It was

**PROPOSED BY:** Councillor S Hayward  
**SECONDED BY:** Councillor A J Ewart-James and

**RESOLVED** – That the Meeting stand adjourned.

\*Please see attachment for public comments which are attached but do not constitute part of the Minutes.

It was then

**PROPOSED BY:** Councillor A Ewart-James  
**SECONDED BY:** Councillor D Owen and

**RESOLVED** – That the Meeting be re-convened.

**361/07 APPLICATIONS, PLANS & TPOs**

It was

**PROPOSED BY:** Councillor R Belcourt  
**SECONDED BY:** Councillor A Ewart-James and

**RESOLVED** – That the following observations be made:-

i) **Planning Applications**

<b><u>APPLICATION</u></b>	<b><u>DETAILS</u></b>	<b><u>COMMENTS</u></b>
<b>Y08/0064/SH</b>	6 Highridge, Hythe, Kent CT21 5TE Erection of a 2 storey side extension together with a single storey rear extension and decked area, car port to front garden and other external alterations (re-submission of Y07/1445/SH). <i>Applicant: Mr &amp; Mrs M Jones</i>	<b>Recommend refusal as the application represents an over intensive development, contravening the 45 degree angle, and that concerns exist regarding the size and design of the proposed carport.</b>
<b>Y08/0154/SH</b>	25 Hillside Street, Hythe, Kent CT21 5EJ Works to one Medlar tree situated within a Conservation Area. <i>Applicant: Mrs Leach</i>	<b>Subject to the Arboricultural Officer.</b>
<b>Y08/0187/SH</b>	5 Lookers Lane, Hythe, Kent CT21 5HW Erection of single storey side extension. <i>Applicant: Mr &amp; Mrs Martin Davidson</i>	<b>Support</b>
<b>Y08/0189/SH</b>	5 The Haven, Hythe, Kent Ct21 4PJ Erection of single storey side extension. <i>Applicant: Mr P Dickinson</i>	<b>Support</b>
<b>Y08/0194/SH</b>	9 Seabrook Gardens, Hythe, Kent CT21 5RD Erection of a 3 storey side extension and second floor roof extension with balconies to provide additional living accommodation. <i>Applicant: Mr &amp; Mrs Martin</i>	<b>Support</b>
<b>Y08/0195/SH</b>	102 North Road, Hythe, Kent CT21 5DX Erection of a three storey/part four storey block of 12 flats above lower ground floor parking area and formation of access following demolition of existing house and garage. <i>Applicant: Kingston Village Homes</i>	<b>Recommend refusal as the application represents an over intensive development with highway issues. It was also considered that land slippage may also represent a problem to the development.</b>
<b>Y08/0208/SH</b>	Cartref, Cannongate Road, Hythe, Kent 25% crown thin of northern limb & 50% crown reduction of north eastern limb of an Austrian Pine tree, the subject of Tree Preservation Order No. 22 of 1994. <i>Applicant: Mrs S Hook</i>	<b>Support</b>
<b>Y08/0209/SH</b>	15 The Haven, Hythe, Kent CT21 4PJ Works to 3 Hawthorne trees subject of Tree Preservation Order No 1 of 1997. <i>Applicant: Mr Martin Logan</i>	<b>Subject to the Arboricultural Officer</b>
<b>Y08/0210/SH</b>	8 Bartholomew Street, Hythe, Kent CT21 5BS Provision of a link between 8 and 8a Bartholomew Street together with installation of a dormer window to the side elevation. <i>Applicant: Mr James Thomson</i>	<b>Support</b>
<b>Y08/0211/SH</b>	8 Bartholomew Street, Hythe, Kent CT21 5BS Listed Building Consent for provision of a link between 8 and 8a Bartholomew Street together with installation of a dormer window to side elevation. <i>Applicant: Mr James Thomson</i>	<b>Support</b>

<b>Y08/0212/SH</b>	38 Seaton Avenue, Hythe, Kent CT21 5HH Erection of a single storey rear extension. <i>Applicant: Mr &amp; Mrs S Friend</i>	<b>Support</b>
<b>Y08/0215/SH</b>	Springfield, Dental Street, Hythe, Kent Conservation Area consent for demolition of existing dwelling. <i>Applicant: Rogate Holdings Ltd</i>	<b>Recommend refusal on grounds that there was no requirement to demolish this building.</b>
<b>Y08/0233/SH</b>	Elm Court, Wych Elm Way, Hythe, Kent Erection of a pair of townhouses being details pursuant to outline planning permission reference Y06/1124/SH. <i>Applicant: Mr S J Pollard &amp; Mrs H J Pollard</i>	<b>Support</b>
<b>Y08/0259/SH</b>	15 Tower Gardens, Hythe, Kent CT21 6DG Erection of single storey side extension. <i>Applicant: Mr L Hoskins</i>	<b>Support</b>

**362/07 TOW PATH**

The correspondence received from Councillor M Dearden was discussed. It was noted that the tow path, an easement either side and the entrance to the Tennis Club all fall within the ownership of Shepway District Council, and were therefore beyond the Town Council's remit.

It was

**PROPOSED BY:** Councillor R Belcourt  
**SECONDED BY:** Councillor S W Hayward and

**RECOMMENDED** – That the Council writes to Shepway District Council supporting the installation of speed humps, and also to highlight the matter of cars being parked on verges.

**363/07 AFFORDABLE HOUSING – DRAFT SUPPLEMENTARY PLANNING DOCUMENT**

The Framework and Appraisal document from Shepway District Council was noted for discussion at the Plans and Works Committee Meeting on the 1<sup>st</sup> April 2008.

**364/07 DEFECTS – PAINTING/DECORATING PUBLIC BUILDINGS**

There were none reported.

The meeting closed at 10.07 am

Dated this                      Day of                      2008

.....CHAIRMAN

**\*Mr Tonks spoke regarding planning application Y08/0208/SH**

Mr Tonks felt that the application would represent an over-development of the site; in particular he raised concerns as to the size and design of the proposed carport. He believed that the plans and details contained in the latest application conceal the full impact that the structure would have on the neighbourhood, Mr Tonks objected to this application.